

# **Preclinical Development [CDER]: Biological Therapeutics for Cancer Treatment**

**Andrew J. McDougal, Ph.D., DABT**  
**FDA/CDER/OODP/DBOP**  
**[andrew.mcdougal@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:andrew.mcdougal@fda.hhs.gov)**

# **Disclaimer**

---

- The opinions expressed by Dr. McDougal are his, and do not reflect official policy of the US or FDA.
- Information presented was obtained from publicly available sources.
- No official support or endorsement by FDA is intended or should be inferred.

# Objectives for this Presentation

---

- Present a FDA/CDER nonclinical reviewer's perspective
- Provide insight into our approaches for reviewing your IND-enabling, nonclinical safety data
- Introduce/remind you about current guidance for toxicology testing.

# **CDER/Office of Oncology Drug Products (OODP) Regulates Biologic Cancer Therapies**

**OODP has 3 divisions:**

- Division of Biologic Oncology Products (DBOP)**

## **■ DBOP regulates:**

- **Monoclonal antibodies**
- **Recombinant proteins**
- **Cytokines**
- **Growth factors**
- **Enzymes**
- **Biological immunomodulators**
- **Other (non-vaccine) therapeutic immunotherapies**
- **Radiolabeled biologics for therapeutic use**

# CDER/OODP Regulates Biologic Cancer Therapies

## OODP/DBOP

- Monoclonal antibodies
- Recombinant proteins
- Cyokines
- Growth factors
- Enzymes
- Biological immunomodulators
- Other (non-vaccine) therapeutic immunotherapies
- Radiolabeled biologics for therapeutic use

## ■ OODP/DDOP (Division of Drug Oncology Products)

- ‘Traditional’ cytotoxic compounds and ‘small molecules’
- Hormones and metabolic factors
- Synthetic peptides
- Oligonucleotides and siRNA
- Small molecules conjugated to antibodies

# Examples of DBOP Regulated Products:

[http://www.fda.gov/cder/Offices/OODP/DBOP\\_products.htm](http://www.fda.gov/cder/Offices/OODP/DBOP_products.htm)

Drug Name®	US Adopted name	Drug Name®	US Adopted name
Avastin	Bevacizumab	Aranesp	Darbepoetin alfa*
Bexxar	Tositumomab / I <sup>131</sup> tositumomab	Epogen, Procrit	Epoetin alpha*
Campath	Alemtuzumab	Kepivance	Palifermin
Erbitux	Certuximab	Leukine	Sargramostim
Herceptin	Trastuzumab	Neuropogon	Filgrastim
Rituxan	Rituximab	Neulasta	Pegfilgrastim
Soliris	Eculizumab	Intron A	Interferon alfa-2b*
Vectibix	Panitumumab	Neumega	Oprelvekin
Zevalin	Ibrutumomab	Ontak	Denileukin diftitox
Elitek	Rasburicase	Proleukin	Aldesleukin
Elspar	Asparaginase	Roferon	Interferon alpha-2a*
Oncaspar	Pegasparagase	*For cancer indications only	

# What Do We Need to See in an IND for a New Anti-Cancer Biologic?

- Chemistry & Manufacturing Controls (Quality)
- Nonclinical (Pharmacology/Toxicology)
- Clinical

## Nonclinical:

1. **Pharmacodynamics (PD)**
  - the biology, activity, mechanism of action, potency
2. **Pharmacokinetics (PK)**
  - Distribution, elimination – AUC,  $C_{max}$ ,  $V_d$ ,  $T_{1/2}$
  - anti-product antibody – formation, clearance
3. **Toxicology**
  - Testing in relevant animal species

# Supporting the First-in-Human (FIH) Study—Nonclinical Reviewer Perspective (1)

- It is helpful if your IND clearly informs and explains HOW you have demonstrated safety.
- The FDA (nonclinical) Toxicologist may have a different perspective from the Industry Toxicologist if:
  - I've already reviewed an IND for this
  - I have access to FDA institutional knowledge
  - I evaluate your contract lab reports differently
- Alternative approaches may be acceptable. Please:
  - Consider requesting a pre-IND meeting to discuss.
  - Justify them in the IND.

# Supporting the FIH Study– Nonclinical Reviewer Perspective (2)

- Toxicologist's job: to verify that the nonclinical data support the **safety** of the proposed clinical trial.
- Toxicity:
  - Can we predict what the **toxicities** will be in patients?
  - Are they **acceptable** for this indication?
  - What is their **progression / recovery**?
  - Can we monitor clinically for the **toxicity**?

# Supporting the FIH Study – Nonclinical Reviewer Perspective (3)

- Toxicologist's job: to verify that the nonclinical data support the **safety** of the proposed clinical trial.
- Appropriateness of:
  - Start dose,
  - Dose escalation scheme,
  - Maximum dose.
- Schedule of dosing,
- Maximum duration of dosing.
- Exclusion / inclusion criteria,
- Clinical monitoring,
- Communication of concerns and risks.

# Supporting the FIH Study—Nonclinical Reviewer Perspective (4)

- Demonstration of pharmacologic / biologic activity is the first step in the development of ANY new drug or biologic.
- Nonclinical does not review for clinical efficacy.
- ‘Proof of concept’ studies are reviewed to understand the potential risks in context (risk:benefit).
  - For biologics, most toxicity is exaggerated pharmacology.
  - ‘Are the animal data predictive?’
  - ‘What does the animal response mean for patient safety?’
  - ‘Is this observation incidental or treatment-related?’

## Examples

- Tumor vs normal cell growth inhibition → show that healthy tissues are not targeted?
- *In vivo* studies of anti-tumor activity in tumor xenograft models → identify the lowest biologically active dose?

# Nonclinical Review: Step 1

## Preliminary questions:

- WHO ?  
(the index [patient] population)
- WHAT ?  
(What is the intended pharmacological action?)
- HOW ?  
(the protocol)
- All the nonclincial data get filtered through these lenses (risk:benefit).

# Nonclinical Review: Step 2

**1<sup>st</sup> question: What data directly predict effects of treatment in patients? *PD data:***

- **The target**

- Distribution / expression
- Mechanism of Action (MOA)
- Differences in healthy versus cancer

- **How the product interacts with the target**

- Binding, affinity, specificity
- Potency
- Downstream effects
- Effect of disease on the product
- Other targets? Low affinity or off-target binding

# Nonclinical Review: Step 3

**2nd question: Are the animal test species pharmacologically and toxicology relevant? *PD data:***

- **Relevant species:**
- **Does the animal respond to treatment the same way that humans will?**
  - Expression / distribution of the target
  - Homology / orthology
  - MOA, downstream effects
  - Binding, affinity, specificity, potency
  - PK
- **Non-relevant species:**
- **May miss some or all of the pharmacologic and toxicologic activities that will occur in humans.**
- **Underpredict toxicity ➔ Not useful for dose-setting.**

# Why are the Pharmacokinetic (PK) Studies Important?

- PK of a new biologic allows estimation of:
  - Exposure to agent after any given dose
    - Correlation with pharmacologic/therapeutic effect
  - Duration of exposure (half-life)
    - Dosing interval for the clinical study
    - Time to reversal of any biologic or toxic effects
  - Development of anti-product antibodies
    - Both total and neutralizing activity
    - Do they affect clearance of the product?

Each pivotal *in vivo* toxicology study should include PK.

# Toxicology Studies for Anti-Cancer Biologics (1) – Study Design

- Usually standard assays in healthy animals.
- For biologics, main groups and recovery groups at all doses.

- Should include a dose that exceeds the therapeutic effect (⇒ exaggerated pharmacology)
- Dosing regimen should mimic the clinical trial
  - # of doses, timing of dosing

- Monitor PK & antibody development.
- Incorporate safety pharmacology into tox. studies.

# Toxicology Studies for Anti-Cancer Biologics (2) - Duration

- ■ Nonclinical duration should equal at least 1 clinical cycle (plus recovery period).
- ■ For some indications, cancer patients may receive multiple cycles (until progression or SAE).
- ■ Dosing to steady-state is recommended.
  - Ex- For a mAb with  $t_{1/2} = 8 - 11$  days, 5 weekly doses to support FIH may be appropriate.

# Toxicology Studies for Anti-Cancer Biologics: Reviewer Perspective (1)

- Expecting to see exaggerated pharmacology.
  - Looking for toxicities secondary to the main effect.
  - Also looking for off-target toxicities.

- Is there a no adverse effect level (NOAEL)?
  - Critical target tissues/organs/systems
  - Severity, reversibility
  - Clinically monitorable

- Do the observed effects correlate with PK?
  - Dose-response
  - Reversibility after clearance
  - Anti-product antibody effects on PK

# Toxicology Studies for Anti-Cancer Biologics: Reviewer Perspective (2)

- For Biologics, NH Primates may be the only relevant model.
- Non-rodent studies are not powered for statistical significance.
  - Look for individual animal responses.

- Working with limited data, regulatory decisions are made based on reasonable assumptions
  - The observed effect *may* be treatment-related.
  - The observed effect *may* indicate unacceptable toxicity.

# Toxicology study results and setting the FIH dose

- Pivotal toxicology studies' route & dosing regimen should mimic proposed clinical use
  - Alternative routes/regimens acceptable in some cases
- Ideal: high-dose was toxic & mid- or low-dose was NOAEL
- **FIH dose extrapolated from animal results using adequate safety margins**
  - Recognizing that biologics may have a smaller therapeutic index than 'small molecules'
  - For FIH trials with anti-cancer biologics, goal is to start at a biologically-active dose (**MABEL**)

# Specific Safety Concerns for Biologics?

- 1. Many biologics are highly selective and specific. Not equally active across species.
- 2. PK differences between humans and animals, especially for humanized mAbs.
- 3. Anti-product antibodies may affect / limit *in vivo* testing.
- 4. Immunogenic responses (or lack of response) in animals may not predict human responses.

# Specific Safety Concerns for mAbs?

In addition to the concerns for all biologics:

- ■ Bind targets in healthy tissues (cross-reactivity).
- ■ Exaggerated pharmacology.
- ■ Slow elimination.
- ■ Slow recovery from toxicity.

# Specific Safety Concerns for Cytokines & Growth Factors?

In addition to the concerns for all biologics,

- ■ Species-specificity
- ■ Interactions with host endogenous cascade
- ■ Tumor-promoting potential
- ■ Immunogenicity/antibody production
  - effects on neutralization of endogenous counterpart to test agent

# CMC and Nonclinical – Reviewer Perspective

---

- Product development during preclinical testing phase is acceptable
- Use of non-GMP protein products allowed for nonclinical testing

- Need to know exactly what was tested, and how it differs from the clinical material
- Need demonstration of comparability of the pivotal nonclinical study's test material with the clinical grade material

# Forthcoming Guidance

---

**Coming soon...(...)**

- ***Guidance for Industry and Reviewers:  
Nonclinical Safety Evaluation of  
Biotechnology-Derived Pharmaceuticals***
- **Please send comments (when the drafts are published)**

**ICH S9: Preclinical Guideline on Oncology  
Therapeutic Development**

- Concept paper endorsed 5/2007
- <http://www.ich.org/cache/html/3559-272-1.html>

# Some Further Resources

- ICH Guidances ([www.ich.org/cache/compo/276-254-1.html](http://www.ich.org/cache/compo/276-254-1.html))
  - ICH S6: Safety Studies for Biotechnological Products
  - ICH M3: Timing of Pre-clinical Studies in Relation to Clinical Trials
  - ICH S5a: Detection of Toxicity to Reproduction for Medicinal Products
- Points to Consider
  - Points to Consider in the Manufacture and Testing of Monoclonal Antibody Products for Human Use - 1997
    - [www.fda.gov/cber/gdlns/ptc\\_mab.pdf](http://www.fda.gov/cber/gdlns/ptc_mab.pdf)
  - Points to Consider in the Manufacture and Testing of Therapeutic Products for Human Use Derived from Transgenic Animals – 1995
    - [www.fda.gov/cber/gdlns/ptc\\_tga.txt](http://www.fda.gov/cber/gdlns/ptc_tga.txt)

# Thanks!

**Goals of nonclinical testing are to protect patients, speed development, reduce waste, and inform consent.**

- My thanks to Anne Pilaro, Stacey Ricci, Michael Orr, Ying Huang, and Mercedes Serabian.
- Thank you for listening.
- Comments and questions solicited.

[andrew.mcdougal@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:andrew.mcdougal@fda.hhs.gov)

Contact DBOP at: (301) 796-2320