Immunotherapeutic barriers at the level of the tumor microenvironment

Thomas F. Gajewski, M.D., Ph.D.

Professor, Departments of Pathology and Medicine Program Leader, Immunology and Cancer Program of the University of Chicago Comprehensive Center



Disclosure Information Thomas F. Gajewski, M.D., Ph.D.

- Honoraria:
 - BMS
 - GSK-Bio
 - Eisai
 - Roche/Genentech
- Clinical trial grant support:
 - BMS
 - GSK-Bio
 - Eisai
 - Incyte
 - Roche/Genentech

CD8⁺ cytotoxic T lymphocyte killing an antigen-expressing tumor cell



In vivo, a tumor is more than tumor cells

- Three dimensional mass
- Extracellular matrix
- Supported by the neovasculature, fibroblasts, macrophages
- Variable presence of inflammatory cells
 - T cells (and subsets thereof)
 - B cells/plasma cells
 - NK/NKT cells
 - Dendritic cell subsets
- The functional phenotypes of these cells may or may not be permissive for an effective anti-tumor immune response (either priming phase or effector phase)
- Also, likely need for dynamic interaction with draining lymph node compartment for optimal anti-tumor immunity → added complexity

Model for spontaneous CD8+T cell-mediated anti-tumor immune response *in vivo*





Features of subsets of solid tumors that might mediate poor immune recognition or lack of immune destruction

- Priming phase
 - Lack of innate immune-activating "danger" signals
 - Poor recruitment of the critical APC subsets for cross-presentation of antigens to T cells
 - Inadequate expression of costimulatory ligands on tumor cells or on infiltrating APCs
- Effector phase
 - Inadequate recruitment of activated effector T cells
 - Endothelial cells/homing receptors
 - Chemokines
 - Presence of dominant immune inhibitory mechanisms that suppress T cell effector functions
 - Inhibitory receptors (e.g. PD-L1/PD-1)
 - Extrinsic suppressive cells (e.g. Tregs, MDSCs)
 - Metabolic inhibitors (e.g. IDO, arginase)
 - Inhibitory cytokines (e.g. IL-10, TGF-β)

Hypothesis

- Features of the tumor microenvironment could dominate at the effector phase of the anti-tumor T cell response and limit efficacy of current immunotherapies
 - T cell trafficking into tumor
 - Immune suppressive mechanisms at tumor site
 - Tumor cell biology and susceptibility to immune-mediated killing
 - Complexities of the tumor stroma (vasculature, fibrosis)
- Reasoned that these features could be interrogated through pre-treatment gene expression profiling of tumor site in each individual patient
- Such an analysis could identify a predictive biomarker profile associated with clinical response, and also highlight new biologic barriers that need to be overcome to optimize therapeutic efficacy of vaccines and other immunotherapies

Expression of a subset of chemokine genes is associated with presence of CD8 transcripts



Gene expression pattern of tumor microenvironment associated with favorable clinical outcome to a dendritic cell vaccine



Schuler collaboration, ASCO 2009

"Inflamed" gene expression signature is associated with survival following GSK MAGE3 protein vaccine



Louahed et al., EORTC-NCI-AACR 2009

Ipilimumab clinical responders also appear to show an "inflamed" tumor gene expression profile



- CXCL9, 10, 11
- CCL4, CCL5
- Granzyme B
- Perforin
- CD8α

No-benefit

Benefit

Ji et al, AACR 2011

Implication of melanoma gene array results for patient-specific therapy

- Gene expression profiling of the melanoma tumor microenvironment has revealed reproducible patterns associated with clinical benefit -> should be explored as predictive biomarker in prospective trials
 - Already being pursued by GSK-Bio in context of multicenter MAGE3 vaccine studies
- Ideally, this strategy should allow enrichment for the potentially responsive patient population in the future
 - Think Her2 equivalent for immunotherpies
- These observations also highlight critical aspects of tumor/immune system biology, and suggest specific strategies for overcoming immunologic barriers at the level of the tumor microenvironment

Two broad categories of tumor microenvironments defined by gene expression profiling and confirmatory assays

CD8 IHC





Gajewski, Brichard; Cancer J. 2010

• T cell "poor"

- Lack chemokines for recruitment
- Low indicators of inflammation
- T cell "rich"
 - Chemokines for T cell recruitment
 - CD8⁺ T cells in tumor microenvironment
 - Broad inflammatory signature
 - Apparently predictive of clinical benefit to vaccines

1. Chemokines and T cell migration

What is attracting T cells into some tumors? Can we mimic this in the tumors that fail to achieve it spontaneously?

A subset of melanoma cell lines expresses a broad array of chemokines



• Implies that in some cases, the melanoma tumor cells themselves can produce the entire panel of key chemokines

Superior recruitment of human CD8⁺ effector T cells in NOD/scid mice bearing "chemokine-high" M537 melanomas



Candidate strategies to promote effector T cell migration into tumor sites

- Introduce chemokines directly
 - CXCR3-binding chemokines (CXCL9, CXCL10)
 - Others (CCL2, CCL3, CCL4, CCL5)
- Induce chemokine production from stromal cells
 - LIGHT, lymphotoxin: bind LT β R
- Elicit appropriate local inflammation that includes chemokine production
 - Type I IFNs
 - TLR agonists
 - Radiation?
- Alter signaling pathways in melanoma cells themselves to enable chemokine gene expression by tumor cells

Intratumoral LIGHT adenovirus in B16 melanoma: Promotes chemokine production, CD8⁺ T cell recruitment, primary tumor control, and rejection of non-injected distant metastases



Yu et al, J. Immunol. 2007

2. T cell suppressive mechanisms

Why are TIL not eliminating the tumor cells they are infiltrating? Can we overcome this defect and restore tumor rejection?

Inflamed melanomas <u>containing CD8+ T</u> <u>cells</u> have highest expression of immune inhibitory pathways



- **IDO** (indoleamine-2,3dioxygenase)
 - Tryptophan depletion
- PD-L1
 - Engages PD-1 on T cells
- CD4+CD25+FoxP3+Tregs
 - Extrinsic suppression
- T cell anergy (B7-poor)
 - T cell intrinsic TCR signaling defect

Immunol. Rev. 2006, Clin. Can. Res. 2007

Correlated expression of IDO, FoxP3, and PD-L1 transcripts in individual tumors



Interfering with PD-L1/PD-1 interactions can lead to tumor rejection in vivo



Blank et al, Cancer Research, 2004

1-methyltryptophan reverses immunosuppression by IDO and enables tumor control in vivo



Uyttenhove et al Nature Med. 9:1269, 2003

Uncoupling multiple immune suppressive mechanisms in combination: Treg depletion and anergy reversal synergize to promote

rejection of B16 melanoma and vitiligo





Kline et al., Clin. Can. Res. 2008

Strategies to block immune inhibitory mechanisms tested in mouse models and being translated to the clinic

- Blockade of PD-L1/PD-1 interactions
 - Anti-PD-1 and anti-PD-L1 mAbs (Medarex/BMS; Curetech)

• Depletion of CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ Tregs

- Denileukin diftitox (IL-2/DT fusion)
- Daclizumab or Basiliximab (anti-IL-2R mAb)
- Ex vivo bead depletion of CD25⁺ cells from T cell product for adoptive transfer

• IDO inhibition

- 1-methyltryptophan (RAID program)
- New more potent IDO inhibitors (Incyte)
- Anergy reversal
 - Introduction of B7-1 into tumor sites
 - Homeostatic cytokine-driven proliferation
 - T cell adoptive transfer into lymphopenic recipient
 - Exogenous IL-7 / IL-15
 - Decipher molecular mechanism and develop small molecule inhibitors to restore T cell function
- Combinations of negative regulatory pathway blockade
 - Synergy between blockade of 2 or more pathways

Anti-PD-1 mAb phase I (MDX-1106; BMS 936558): Tumor response



Responses also seen in NSCLC and renal cell carcinoma

Reduction of Treg number using Denileukin diftitox can have clinical activity in melanoma



Rasku et al J. Trans. Med. 2008

Multicenter phase II study currently ongoing

3. Innate immune signals type I IFNs

How are anti-tumor T cells sometimes becoming spontaneously primed? Can we improve endogenous T cell priming in the tumors that fail to do so alone?

Melanoma metastases that contain T cell transcripts also contain transcripts known to be induced by type I IFNs



Host IFN-α/βR is critical for generating a spontaneous tumor-specific T cell response





B: Stat1ko

Mice deficient in IFN signaling fail to accumulate CD8α⁺ DC subset in tumor microenvironment



A: Percentage

B: Absolute number



Fuertes et al; J. Exp. Med. 2011

Anti-tumor immune responses: Working model for innate immune signals and spontaneous cross-presentation



Provision of exogenous IFN-β can potently induce tumor rejection



IFN-α/β signaling on host non-hematopoietic cells is necessary for control of B16 melanoma



Implies effect at the level of non-hematopoietic stromal cells

Intratumoral IFN-β exerts a profound anti-angiogenic effect



Spaapen et al. Manuscript in preparation

Targeting tumor stroma immunologically may be the key to durable complete responses



Spiotto, Schreiber et al. Nature Medicine 10:294, 2004

Conclusions

- There is heterogeneity in patient outcome to immune-based therapies for cancer such as melanoma vaccines, IL-2, and anti-CTLA-4 mAb
- One component of that heterogeneity is derived from differences at the level of the tumor microenvironment
- Key determining factors in melanoma microenvironment include chemokine-mediated recruitment of effector CD8⁺ T cells, local immune suppressive mechanisms, and innate immune activation including type I IFNs
- Understanding these aspects is enabling improved patient selection for Rx with immunotherapies (predictive biomarker), and also development of new interventions to modify the microenvironment to better support T cell-mediated rejection
- Targeting the tumor stroma immunologically may be just as critical as targeting the tumor cells



Acknowledgments



<u>Melanoma gene array/</u> <u>chemokines</u> Helena Harlin

Ruth Meng Amy Peterson Mark McKee Craig Slingluff Functional genomics core <u>LIGHT</u> <u>adenovirus</u> Yang-Xin Fu Ping Yu Hans Schreiber Uncoupling negative regulation Justin Kline Robbert Spaapen Yuan-yuan Zha Christian Blank Amy Peterson Ian Brown

Type I IFNs Mercedes Fuertes Robbert Spaapen Aalok Kacha Justin Kline David Kranz Hans Schreiber Ken Murphy

<u>GSI project</u> <u>Ruth Meng</u> Yuan-yuan Zha Kim Margolin SWOG, CTEP <u>Collaborative vaccine/gene</u> <u>array data</u> <u>Gerold Schuler (Erlangen group)</u> Vincent Brichard (GSK-Bio)

Translational research is like scuba diving...



Hawaii, 2011

Human CD8⁺ effector T cells can migrate to each of these 6 chemokines in vitro



Mechanisms of negative regulation of T cell function within the melanoma tumor microenvironment

- Indoleamine-2,3-dioxygenase (IDO→ tryptophan catabolism)—inhibits T cell function
- 2. PD-L1 (inhibitory ligand expressed by tumor cells)—engages PD-1 on T cells
- 3. CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ Tregs (extrinsic suppresion) inhibit activation of effector T cells
- 4. T cell anergy (deficient B7 costimulation)—T cell intrinsic dysfunction

IHC for IDO, FoxP3, and PD-L1 shows expression in distinct cell subsets in melanoma metastases



C: PD-L1



Implantation of tumors in vivo results in IFN-β production in the tumor-draining lymph node

