

Cancer Vaccines and Cytokines

Elizabeth A. Mittendorf, MD, PhD Assistant Professor Department of Surgical Oncology



Disclosures

- I serve as the PI on a phase III trial sponsored by Galena BioPharma investigating NeuVax
- I serve as the PI on a phase II trial sponsored in part by Antigen Express investigating the AE37 vaccine

Goals

- Discuss considerations in vaccine construction/development
- Review specific vaccines currently being evaluated in later stage clinical trials

A vaccine is used for induction of humoral and/or cellular immune responses against an antigen or set of antigens

Considerations in Vaccine Development

- Target (tumor antigen)
- Effective adjuvant
- Delivery platform
- Clinical setting in which vaccine will be effective
- Patients likely to benefit from vaccination
- Feasibility of large-scale vaccine production
 - Cost
 - Preparation time

Tumor Antigen

- Allows tumor cells to be distinguished from normal
- Overexpressed or abnormally expressed in tumors
- Critical for the survival of the tumor

Tumor Antigen

- Cancer testis
 - MAGE, NY-ESO-1
- Oncogenes
 HER2, WT1, p53
- Differentiation antigens
 - gp 100, MART-1, tyrosinase
- Glycoproteins
 - MUC-1
- Oncofetal antigens
 - AFP, CEA

Immunoadjuvant

- Nonspecific substance acting to enhance the immune response to an antigen with which it is administered
- Examples
 - Incomplete Freund's adjuvant (IFA)
 - GM-CSF
 - Monophosphoryl lipid A
 - CpG oligonucleotides

- Evaluated immune responses to gp100 + IFA
- Peptide/IFA primed tumor-specific CD8+ T cells
- Primed T cells remained at the vaccination site; not tumors







Platforms

- Dendritic cell vaccines
- Peptide vaccines
- Protein vaccines
- Whole tumor cell vaccines
- DNA vaccines
- Recombinant viral vectors

Platforms

Dendritic cell vaccines

- Peptide vaccines
- Protein vaccines
- Whole tumor cell vaccines
- DNA vaccines
- Recombinant viral vectors

Dendritic Cell Vaccines

- Sipuleucel-T (Provenge)
- Approved by FDA in 2010 for metastatic castration resistant prostate CA
- Improves OS by 4.1 months



Kantoff PW et al. NEJM 2010;363(5):411-422

Dendritic Cell Vaccines



Dendritic Cell Vaccines

• Pros

- Ex vivo DC maturation step
- † immune activation of infused
 product over time
- Cons
 - Complex manufacturing process
 - Expensive
 - Inconsistent results

GSK MAGE-A3

- Recombinant protein
- MAGE-A3
 - Tumor specific
 - Expressed in testis and placenta where spermatogonia and trophoblasts lack MHC molecules
- AS15 = GSK proprietary immunologic Adjuvant System



GSK MAGE-A3

- Phase II (n=182)
- Resected stage IB or II NSCLC
- Randomized to post-op vaccine or placebo
- Median f/u = 44 months
- Trend towards improved DFS and OS in vaccine group
- Identified possible gene signature that correlated with clinical activity

MAGRIT - NSCLC



Secondary endpoint: Validation of predictive gene signature

DERMA - Melanoma



Secondary endpoint: Validation of predictive gene signature

- Idiotype
 - Molecular determinant on the variable regions of surface Ig on a B-cell
 - Unique to each Ig
 - Can be recognized as antigens



laG Benditzen 1996





- Double-blind, RCT
- Follicular lymphoma
- Bulky stage II, III or IV disease with LN > 2cm accessible for biopsy
- Chemo naïve
- Patients achieving a complete response after chemotherapy were randomized



Vaccine: tumor isotype-matched Id protein manufactured by hybridoma technology.



Schuster SJ et al. J Clin Oncol 2011;29(20):2787-2794



Schuster SJ et al. J Clin Oncol 2011;29(20):2787-2794

Peptide Vaccines

- Use antigenic peptides derived from tumor associated antigens (TAA)
- Stimulate peptidespecific immune regulators



gp100



- Randomized phase 3
- N=185
- Stage IV or locally advanced stage III melanoma
- HLA-A2+
- Patients randomized to:
 - IL-2 alone
 - Gp100 + IFA followed by IL-2
- Primary endpoint: clinical response



gp100



HER2/neu



HER2-Derived Peptide Vaccine

• E75

- -9 aa peptide from extracellular domain
- Immunodominant epitope of HER2/neu
- MHC class I peptide \rightarrow stimulated CD8⁺ T cells
- High affinity for HLA-A2 /A3



Trial Design

Booster Program



Inclusion Criteria

- Histologically confirmed breast cancer
- Node positive or high-risk node negative
- Completed SOC surgery, chemotherapy and radiation
- Immunocompetent
- Any level of HER2 (IHC 1+, 2+, 3+)

E75 Phase I/II Trial

	Vaccine Control		p value	
n=	108	79		
Age (median)	57	53	0.26	
Node Positive	49.1%	55.7%	0.38	
Tumor Size (T2-T4)	34.3%	46.2%	0.13	
Histologic Grade 3	40.0%	39.5%	1.00	
ER/PR negative	31.1%	17.7%	0.04	
HER2/ <i>neu</i> overexpression	31.7%	26.8%	0.50	
Hormonal Therapy	66.7%	76.9%	0.14	
Chemotherapy	75.0%	72.2%	0.74	
XRT	72.2%	81.0%	0.17	
Trastuzumab Therapy	11.1%	3.8%	0.10	
Optimal dose	34.3%	0.0%	n/a	

Safety and Toxicity



Local Toxicity



In Vivo Immune Response



Clinical Benefit to Vaccination

Primary analysis at 18 months median follow-up

	Vaccinated	Control	P-value	100 DFS 90
Recurrence Rate	5.6%	14.2%	0.04	80 - 70 - 50 -
Disease Free Survival	92.5%	77.0%	0.04	20 - 20 -
Overall Survival	99.0%	95.1%	0.10	10 - 0

Peoples GE et al. *Clin Cancer Res* 2008;14(3):797-803

DFS – 60 mo median f/u



Vreeland T, et al. SABCS 2012

E75 Trial Summary

- Largest breast cancer adjuvant vaccine trial
- Safe and effective in raising HER2 immunity
- Appears to have clinical impact
- HER2 low expressing patients with best immunologic response

Data Limitations

- No true control group (HLA-A2/3+ vaccinated, A2/A3- controls)
- No GM-CSF alone group
- Analyzed phase I/II together
 - Not all patients received optimal dose
 - Not all patients received booster

DFS – Optimal Dosing



Vreeland T, et al. SABCS 2012



Phase III Study Schema: PRESENT (Prevention of Recurrence in Early Stage Node-Positive Breast Cancer with Low to Intermediate HER2 Expression with NeuVax Treatment



PI: E.A. Mittendorf

Combination Immunotherapy

 Pretreatment of tumor cells with trastuzumab results in increased specific cytotoxicity



Possible Mechanisms

- Increased antigen availability
- Altered MHC class I expression
- Altered APM
- Antibody response

Combination Immunotherapy

Enhanced antigen presentation



Mittendorf et al. Ann Surg Oncol 2006

Correlation between HER2 and MHC-I



Antibody Response



HER2-specific IgG Ab response



Knutson, et al. ASCO 2013

Phase I Trial

- Combination therapy with vaccine + trastuzumab is:
 - Safe
 - Immunogenic
 - No dose limiting toxicity or cardiac events

Phase II: NeuVax (E75) + Trastuzumab v. Trastuzumab alone in HER2 IHC 1+/2+, early-stage breast cancer



HER2/neu



AE37

- Modified HER2/neu class II epitope
 - Naturally occurring AE36
 - Linked to Ii-Key moiety of the invariant chain
 - Facilitates epitope charging of MHC class II molecules

 - ↑ potency to > 250 times that of unmodified class II epitope in vitro

AE37/GP2 Phase II Trial



AE37/GP2 Phase II Trial

- What are we learning?
 - Toxicity is due primarily to GM-CSF
 - GM-CSF alone is not responsible for the immune response
 - DTH continues to be a good predictor of clinical response

Interim Analysis: AE37

	Vaccine (n=115)	Control (n=166)	P value
Median age	49	51	.13
Tumor ≥ 2 cm	57%	63%	.42
Grade 3	50%	54%	.52
Node positive	73%	66%	.24
ER/PR neg	40%	38%	.73
HER2 pos	50%	48%	.75



DFS: HER2 low-expressors



Vreeland, et al. ASCO 2012

Interim Analysis: GP2



Trappey, et al. ASCO 2013

- Cancer vaccines represent a nontoxic therapeutic modality with great specificity
- Multiple ongoing phase III trials to assess efficacy

- Ongoing challenges
 - Identification of appropriate patient populations
 - Integration into current treatment
 algorithms
 - Determination of immune response that correlate with outcome
 - Elucidation of gene signatures predictive of response

Vaccination in Less Aggressive Disease



Hale DF et al. Expert Rev Vaccines 2012;11(6):721-731

Vaccines for Solid Tumors

Table 2 Peptide vaccine immunization of patients with metastatic cancer

Peptide	HLA restriction	Total patients	NR	PR	CR
MART 127-35	A2	23	22	1	0
MART-1 ₂₇₋₃₅ + IL-12	A2	12	12	0	0
MART 1 ₂₆₋₃₅ (27L)	A2	6	6	0	0
TRP-2,00-105	A2	20	19	1	0
gp100 ₂₀₉₋₂₁₇	A2	9	8	0	1
gp100 ₂₀₉₋₂₁₇ (210M)*	A2	32	32	0	0
gp100 ₂₀₉₋₂₁₇ (210M) + IL-12	A2	28	28	0	0
gp100 ₂₀₉₋₂₁₇ (210M) + GM-CSF	A2	18	18	0	0
gp100 ₂₀₀₋₂₀₀	A2	9	9	0	0
gp100 ₂₀₀₋₂₀₀ (2889W ^b	A2	5	5	0	0
gp100 ₁₅₄₋₁₆₂	A2	10	0	0	0
gp100ES: ₂₀₉₋₂₁₇ (210)	A2	9	9	0	0
g209-2M + MART-27L	A2	23	23	0	0
g209-2M, g280-9V, MART-27L° + tyr3D ^d	A2	16	14	2	0
gp10044-59	DR4	4	4	0	0
gp100 ₄₄₋₅₉ + g209-2M + MART-27L	A2/DR4	22	21	0	1
Tyrosinase ₂₄₀₋₂₅₁	A1	16	15	1	0
gp1001 ₇₋₂₅	A3	12	12	0	0
Tyrosinase ₂₀₆₋₂₁₄	A2	8	8	0	0
TRP-1 ORF1-9	A31	5	5	0	0
Combination peptides	Non-A2	15	15	0	0
MAGE-12170-178	Cw7	9	8	1	0
NY-ESO-1157-165(165V)	A2	19	19	0	0
NY-ESO-1161-180	DP4	6	5	1	0
NY-ESO-1161-180+157-165(166V)	A2/DP4	11	11	0	0
Her2/neu ₃₆₉₋₃₇₆	A2	6	6	0	0
Telomerase ₅₄₀₋₅₄₆	A2	13	13	0	0
Dendritic cells + g209-2M + MART-27L	A2	15	13	2	0
Total		381	370	9	2

Overall objective response rate = 2.9%

Overall objective response rate = 2.9%. HLA, human leukocyte antigen; CR, patients showing complete response; PR,

patients showing partial response; NR, patients showing no response. #g209-2M. bg280-9V. EMART-

125-35(27L).4Tyrosinase368-375(3700).

Vaccines for Solid Tumors

- 440 patients
 - 422 metastatic melanoma
 - 65% visceral disease
 - 20% lymph node disease ± subcutaneous disease
 - 15% subcutaneous or cutaneous disease only
 - 18 with other metastatic CA

Minimal (Residual) Disease

- Idiotype vaccines
- GSK MAGE-A3
- HER2



Cytotoxic T cell

- Ongoing challenges
 - Identification of appropriate patient populations
 - Integration into current treatment algorithms
 - Determination of immune response that correlate with outcome
 - Elucidation of gene signatures predictive of response

Ongoing challenges

- Identification of appropriate patient populations
- Integration into current treatment
 algorithms
- Determination of immune response that correlates with outcome
- Elucidation of gene signatures predictive of response

Ongoing challenges

- Identification of appropriate patient
 populations
- Integration into current treatment
 algorithms
- Determination of immune response that correlates with outcome
- Elucidation of gene signatures predictive of response



Thank You

